ORGANOCOPPER CHEMISTRY. REACTION OF α , β -ETHYLENIC SULFONES WITH LITHIUM DIALKYLCUPRATES

Gary H. Posner and Daniel J. Brunelle

Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218 (Received in USA 9 January 1973; received in UK for publication 6 February 1973)

The carbonyl group is one of the most versatile functionalities in organic synthesis. Although modification of an aldehyde or ketone carbonyl group can lead to a variety of functional units, conversion to a gem-dialkyl group is not easily accomplished by currently available methods. We recently reported a partially successful sequence of steps for such a conversion which involved replacing benzoyl oxygen first by the gem-dichloride functionality and then replacing each chlorine by a methyl group using lithium dimethylcuprate(I) (Eq. 1). Most aliphatic gem-dichlorides, however, are unreactive toward organocuprate(I) reagents. An alternate scheme for transformation of the oxo to the gem-dialkyl functionality involves formation of an α,β -ethylenic aryl sulfone, reaction of this vinyl aryl sulfone with lithium dialkyl-cuprates(I), and hydrogenolysis of the alkyl-sulfur bond in the alkyl aryl sulfone product (Eq. 2). We now report successful execution of this scheme which involves two new transformations: methyl and n-butyl addition specifically to the β -carbon of α,β -unsaturated sulfones using lithium dialkylcuprate(I) reagents and selective sodium-amalgam hydrogenolysis of the alkyl-sulfur bond in alkyl p-chlorophenyl sulfones (Eq. 2, Ar = p-CIC₂H₄).

Table I summarizes the transformations of aldehydes and ketones to gem-dialkylalkanes. α,β -Ethylenic methyl sulfones were prepared easily from aldehydes and ketones and sulfonomethylphosphonate anions using a modification of the Horner-Wittig reaction. These vinyl methyl sulfones were found to be unsatisfactory for our purposes, however, since reaction with organocuprate(I) reagents caused metalation of the methyl group as shown by deuterium incorporation upon D₂O workup. α,β -Ethylenic aryl sulfones were prepared in high yield from aldehydes, even from 3-ethoxycarbonylcyclohexane carboxaldehyde, but in poor yield from most ketones even under forcing conditions and use of special solvents (e.g. hexamethylphosphoramide.) Since acetone and cyclohexanone can be converted to the corresponding alkylidene aryl sulfones in good yields, the main difficulty with other ketones (e.g. 2-heptanone, 5-nonanone) presumably is steric

Table I. Conversion of Aldehyde and Ketone Carbonyl Groups to Tertiary and Quaternary Carbon Atoms Via Eq. 2.

Aldehyde	Vinyl Aryl ^a	Alkyl Aryl	Hydrocarbon	Overall % Yield
or Ketone	Sulfone (% Yield ^b)	Sulfone (% Yield)	(% Yield)	or nydrocarbon from Aldehyde or Ketone
п-С, Н ₁₃ СНО	$n-C_{6}H_{13}CH=CHSO_{2}Ar$ (80)	$n-C_6H_{13}CH(CH_3)CH_2SO_2Ar$ (97)	$n^{-C} e^{H_{13}CH(CH_3)_2}$ (85)	66 (82 ^C)
		\underline{n} - $C_6H_{13}CH(\underline{n}$ - $C_4H_9)CH_2SO_2Ar$ (93)	$\bar{n}^{-C}_{6}{}^{H_{13}}^{CH}(\bar{n}^{-C}_{4}{}^{H_{9}})^{CH_{3}}$ (72)	53 (69 ^C)
С ₆ ^Н 5СНО	$C_6H_SCH=CHSO_2Ar$ (90)	$c_{6}H_{5}CH(CH_{3})CH_{2}SO_{2}Ar$ (100)	$c_{6}H_{5}CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ (99)	88
		$c_{6}H_{5}CH(\underline{n}-c_{4}H_{9})CH_{2}So_{2}Ar$ (75)	$^{C}_{6}H_{5}^{CH}(\underline{n}^{-C}_{4}H_{9})^{CH}_{3}$ (92)	62
$c_{6}^{H_{5}}$ CH(CH $_{3}$)CH0	$c_6 + c_5 $	$c_{6}H_{5}$ CH(CH $_{3}$)CH(CH $_{3}$)CH $_{2}$ SO ₂ Ar (97)	$C_{6}H_{5}CH(CH_{3})CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ (100)	~78
:	:	$c_{6}H_{5}CH(CH_{3})CH(\underline{n}-c_{4}H_{9})CH_{2}SO_{2}Ar \ (-90)^{d}$	$c_{6}H_{5}CH(CH_{3})CH(\underline{n}-c_{4}H_{9})CH_{3}$ (~80)	55-60
CHO CHO	$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2 \text{C}_2^{\text{HS}} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{CH=CHSO}_2^{\text{Ar}} \end{array} \right\rangle = \text{CH=CHSO}_2^{\text{Ar}} \tag{55}$	$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} Q_0 z^C z^H s \\ \\ \end{array} \right\rangle - CH(CH_3)CH_2 SO_2 Ar (55)$	o	
		$\langle c_2^2 c_2^2 H_5 \rangle$ CH ₂ (C ₄ H ₉) CH ₂ SO ₂ Ar (97)	•	
(CH ₃) ₂ C0	$(CH_3)_2 C = CHSO_2 Ar$ (97)	$(CH_3)_3 CCH_2 SO_2 Ar$ (72)	ŧ	
		$(CH_3)_2 C(\underline{n} - C_4 H_9) CH_2 SO_2 Ar$ (96)	$(CH_3)_3CC_4H_9-\underline{n}$ (70)	92
	$\langle \text{CHSO}_{2} \text{Ar} (72) \rangle$	$\left(\sum_{\text{CH}_2 \text{SO}_2 \text{Ar}}^{\text{CH}_3} (30) \right)$	CH ₂ (-70)	-15
		$C_{\text{CH}_2^{\text{SO}_2^{\text{Ar}}}}$ (95) ^d	$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \int_{C_{4}}^{C_{4}} \eta_{9} \cdot \underline{n} \\ C_{H_{3}} \end{array} \right\rangle $	38

Ar = p-CIC₆H₄. ^bOf distilled or recrystallized material. ^cThis is overall yield of hydrocarbon formed from aldehyde without purification of vinyl sulfone or of alkyl aryl sulfone. ^dYield based on ~50% recovered starting material. ^eNa-Hg apparently caused reduction of ester as well as alkyl sulfone groups. ^fNo Na-Hg hydrogenolysis was attempted due to the volatility of the expected product, neopentane.

hindrance to approach of the bulky diethyl arylsulfonomethylphosphonate anion.

Addition of hydrocarbon groups specifically to the \$-carbon of a,\$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds using organocopper reagents is a widely used reaction. Because the mechanism of this organocopper conjugate addition apparently is not cyclic and does not involve initial complexation of organocopper reagent with substrate, it is reasonable to assume that the carbonyl group serves mainly to polarize the α,β multiple bond and to stabilize the developing negative charge on the α carbon atom as conjugate addition proceeds. That the carbonyl group is not necessary for organocopper addition to certain carbon-carbon multiple bonds has been shown recently by organocopper addition to the β carbon of α,β -unsaturated epoxides, ^{8a} of α,β -unsaturated phosphine oxides and sulfides, 8b and of allylic 8c and propargylic acetates. 8d It was expected, therefore, that the sulfonyl group might be used in place of the carbonyl group: 5 equiv of lithium dimethyl or di-n-butylcuprates(I) in diethyl ether at 0° for several hrs do indeed cause addition of a methyl or an n-butyl group in high yield to the β -carbon of α,β -ethylenic p-chlorophenyl sulfones (see Table I). Furthermore, lithium dimethyl and di-n-butylcuprates(I) react selectively with the vinyl sulfone unit even in the presence of an ester group. 9 It should be noted that methyllithium, methyllithium-tetramethylethylenediamine complex, and the new tert-butoxyalkylcuprate $(I)^{10}$ reagents fail to react with p-chlorophenyl styrylsulfone.

The last step in the reaction scheme involves alkyl-sulfur bond hydrogenolysis in various alkyl aryl sulfones. No general method has been reported for such a transformation, and indeed treating alkyl aryl sulfones with lithium in methylamine causes cleavage of the aryl-sulfur bond. Nevertheless, we have found that 6% sodium amalgam in boiling ethanol 2 for about 12 hrs causes the desired hydrogenolysis to form alkane (and p-chlorobenzenesulfinic acid) consistently in high yields.

The overall sequence (Eq. 2) described herein allows effective conversion of aldehyde carbonyls to tertiary alkyl carbon atoms in which each of the alkyl groups may be different and permits transformation of certain ketone carbonyl groups to quaternary carbon units. The maximum efficienty of this sequence is exemplified by the conversion of heptanal to 2-methyloctane in 82% overall yield and benzaldehyde to isopropylbenzene in 89% overall yield.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge financial assistance from the National Science Foundation (GP-33667) and technical assistance from Mr. K. Chang.

References

- 1. N. S. F. Trainee: 1970-present.
- "The Chemistry of Carbonyl Compounds", S. Patai, Ed., Interscience Publishers, New York, N. Y., 1966.
- (a) For formation of quaternary carbon atoms via hydrogenolysis of cyclopropane bonds, see
 Majerski and P. von R. Schleyer, Tetrahedron Lett., 1968, 6195.
 - (b) For low yield conversion of ketone to 1,3-benzodioxole and then to gem-dialkylalkane, see G. Bianchetti, A. Maccioni, and M. Secci, Ann. Chim. Rome, 60, 483 (1970).

938 No. 12

- 4. G. H. Posner and D. J. Brunelle, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 293.
- 5. G. H. Posner and D. J. Brunelle, <u>J</u>. Org. Chem., 37, 3547 (1972).
- 6. E. J. Corey and J. I. Shulman, J. Org. Chem., 35, 777 (1970).
- 7. G. H. Posner, Org. Reactions, 19, 1 (1972).
- 8. (a) J. Starosick and B. Rickborn, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 93, 3046 (1971) and D. M. Wieland and C. R. Johnson, <u>ibid</u>, 93, 3047 (1971);
 - (b) A. M. Aguiar and J. S. R. Irelan, J. Org. Chem., 34, 4030 (1969);
 - (c) R. J. Anderson, C. A. Henrick, and J. B. Siddall, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 735 (1970)
 - (d) P. Rona and P. Crabbé, ibid, 91, 3289 (1969).
- 9. For a study of the relative reactivity of organocuprates(I) toward various functional groups, see G. H. Posner, C. E. Whitten and P. E. McFarland, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>94</u>, 5106 (1972).
- 10. G. H. Posner and C. E. Whitten, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., submitted for publication.
- 11. W. E. Truce, D. P. Tate, and D. N. Burdge, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 82, 2872 (1960).
- 12. R. E. Dabby, J. Kenyon and R. F. Mason, J. Chem. Soc., 1952, 4881.